	Case 2:22-cv-00842-WBS-SCR Docum	nt 75 Filed 02/11/25 Page	1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	KAREEM J. HOWELL,	No. 2:22-cv-00842 WBS SC	R P
13	Plaintiff,		
14	V.	<u>ORDER</u>	
15	J. MOKHTAR, et al.,		
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis is this civil rights		
19	action under 42 U.S.C. §1983. Plaintiff filed a motion for summary judgment on August 1, 2024,		
20	which is fully briefed. (ECF No. 52.) On December 20, 2024, defendants filed their motion for		
21	summary judgment. (ECF No. 70.) Plaintiff has not responded to this motion. The parties met		
22	for a court-ordered settlement conference on February 3, 2025, but did not settle. (ECF No. 73.)		
23	Pursuant to the court's Discovery and Scheduling Order, defendants' motion for summary		
24	judgment shall be briefed in accordance with Local Rule 230(1). (ECF No. 51 at 6, ¶ 8.) Rule		
25	230(l) states that opposition to a motion must be filed "not more than twenty-one (21) days after		
26	the date of service of the motion." The rule further states that "[f]ailure to file an opposition		
27	or a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the		

motion and may result in the imposition of sanctions."

More than twenty-one days have passed since defendants served their motion and plaintiff has not filed a response or any other documents. Plaintiff will be ordered to file a response to the motion and a statement explaining why he was not able to do so in a timely manner. If plaintiff fails to file a response to the motion or fails to show good cause for his failure to file it on time, this court will deem the failure a waiver of any opposition to defendants' motion.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within twenty-one (21) days of the date of this order, plaintiff shall file the following:

- A response to defendants' motion for summary judgment that complies with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56 and Local Rule 260.
- 2. A response to this order explaining why plaintiff was unable to file a timely response to defendants' motion for summary judgment.

DATED: February 11, 2025

SEAN C. RIORDAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE